

## 9. Tensor Products

### Tensor Products I: Extension of Scalars

#### Restriction of scalars

Suppose  $R$  and  $S$  are rings with unity (but not necessarily commutative), that we have ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  and that  $M$  is an  $S$  module. Then  $M$  is an  $R$  module by “restricting scalars” so that  $r \cdot m = f(r)m$ .

- Any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space is a  $\mathbb{Q}$  vector space.
- Any vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- There is a ring map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R})$  sending

$$i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so any left module over  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  can be viewed as a complex vector space. There are other elements in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $x^2 + 1 = 0$ , and so there are lots of ways to view a left module over  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

#### Extension of scalars

Suppose that  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a map of rings with unity, and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module. Is there a way to make  $M$  into an  $S$ -module? Maybe a better way to say it is: can we find a “smallest”  $S$ -module  $N$  together with a map  $M \rightarrow N$ ?

**Example:** Suppose that  $V$  is a finite dimensional real vector space. Choose a  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  for  $V$ . So  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  using this basis. So we can think of  $V$  as inside  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If we chose a different basis, we’d get a different map  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , but the two maps would be related by a change of basis transformation so in some sense these are “isomorphic”.

#### Extension of scalars (More examples)

**Example:** Suppose that  $M$  is an abelian group (hence a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module). Can we embed  $M$  in a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module? Sometimes, yes: if  $M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $n$ , then  $M$  embeds in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . On the other hand if  $M$  is finite, there are no maps from  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$

for any  $n$ . If  $M$  is a mixture of free and torsion parts, we can embed the free part of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  but not the torsion part.

**Example:** If  $M$  is an abelian group, can we embed  $M$  into a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  – here the map  $R \rightarrow S$  is the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ? Sometimes yes – if  $M$  is  $p$ -torsion, we can do it, but for general  $M$  no.

### Universal approach

To study this in general we take a (left)  $R$ -module  $M$  and a ring map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  and ask: how can we make an  $S$ -module out of  $M$  and the map  $f : R \rightarrow S$ ?

An  $S$ -module structure on  $M$  means we need a map

$$S \times M \rightarrow M$$

satisfying the axioms

- $(s, (m_1 + m_2)) = (s, m_1) + (s, m_2)$
- $(s_1 + s_2, m) = (s_1, m) + (s_2, m)$
- $(sr, m) = (s, rm)$  for  $r \in R$ .

### Extension of scalars via tensor product

Our strategy is to make an abelian group whose elements are pairs  $(s, m)$  modulo the relations above. The equivalence classes of this abelian group are written  $s \otimes m$  (or, in cases where we need more context,  $s \otimes_R m$  or even  $s \otimes_f m$ ). The group itself is called  $S \otimes_R M$ . So the following rules hold:

- $(s_1 + s_2) \otimes m = s_1 \otimes m + s_2 \otimes m$ .
- $s \otimes (m_1 + m_2) = s \otimes m_1 + s \otimes m_2$
- $sr \otimes m = s \otimes rm$ .

A typical element of  $S \otimes M$  is a *sum* of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \otimes m_i$ . It is an  $S$ -module via multiplication by  $S$  on the first factor.

We have a map  $M \rightarrow S \otimes_R M$  given by  $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ .

**Important:** The elements  $s \otimes m$  belong to a quotient group and so the representation of an element as a sum of “simple tensors”  $s \otimes m$  need not be unique! In fact it’s quite possible for  $s \otimes m$  to be zero.

### Some examples

Suppose that  $M = \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $R \rightarrow S$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$  consists of sums of elements  $a \otimes m$ . But  $a = \frac{x}{y}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we can write  $a \otimes m = \frac{1}{y} \otimes xm$ .  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is a finite group of order  $n$ . Then any element of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$  can be written  $a \otimes m$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . But  $a = n(a/n)$ . Therefore

$$a \otimes m = (a/n)n \otimes m = (a/n) \otimes nm = 0$$

so  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$  is the zero module.

### The universal property

The idea is that  $S \otimes M$  is the *smallest*  $S$  module containing  $M$ , where the action of  $R$  comes via the map  $R \rightarrow S$ . In other words, if  $L$  is any other  $S$  module and there is an  $R$ -module map  $f : M \rightarrow L$ , then there is a unique  $S$ -module map  $\phi : S \otimes M \rightarrow L$  so that this triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto 1 \otimes m} & S \otimes M \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow \phi \\
 & & L
 \end{array}$$

### More on the universal property

If  $\iota : M \rightarrow S \otimes M$  is the map  $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ , then  $M/\ker(\iota)$  maps injectively into  $S \otimes M$ . This is the “largest” quotient of  $M$  which embeds into an  $S$ -module.

**Example:** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated abelian group. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T$  where  $T$  is a finite group. If we want to map  $G$  to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, the kernel has to include  $T$ . And in fact the kernel of  $\iota$  is  $T$ . Further, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension of the vector space  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G$  is the rank of the free part of  $G$ .

### More examples

**Example:** Let  $M$  be an  $R$  module and let  $f : R \rightarrow R/I$  be the quotient map. Then  $R/I \otimes M$  is isomorphic to  $M/IM$ . First notice that if  $x \in IM$ , then  $1 \otimes x = 1 \otimes im = i \otimes m = 0$ , so  $IM$  is in the kernel of  $\iota$ . Therefore we have a map  $M/IM \rightarrow R/I \otimes M$ . We have a map in the opposite direction  $R/I \otimes M \rightarrow M/IM$  given by  $(r+I) \otimes m \mapsto rm + IM$ . So if  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes G$  is  $G/pG$  which is zero if  $G$  has no  $p$ -torsion.

**Example:** If  $V$  is a vector space over  $F$  of dimension  $n$ , and  $F \rightarrow E$  is a field extension, then  $E \otimes V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $E$ .

## Tensor products of modules

### The commutative case

Assume for the moment that  $R$  is a *commutative* ring with unity. Suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$ -modules. If  $L$  is yet another  $R$ -module, a bilinear map

$$f : M \times N \rightarrow L$$

is a map that is linear in each variable separately and also satisfies  $f(rm, n) = f(m, rn)$  for  $r \in R$ . The tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  of  $M$  and  $N$  is the free abelian group on pairs  $(m, n)$  modulo the relations:

- $(m_1 + m_2, n) = (m_1, n) + (m_2, n)$
- $(m, n_1 + n_2) = (m, n_1) + (m, n_2)$
- $(rm, n) = (m, rn)$

The equivalence class of the pair  $(m, n)$  is written  $m \otimes n$ .  $M \otimes N$  is an  $R$  module via the action  $r(m \otimes n) = (rm \otimes n) = (m \otimes rn)$  and

$$r(\sum m_i \otimes n_i) = \sum r(m_i \otimes n_i).$$

### Universal property

If  $f : M \times N \rightarrow L$  is bilinear, then we can define  $\bar{f} : M \otimes N \rightarrow L$  by  $\bar{f}(m \otimes n) = f(m, n)$ . This is well defined and it converts a bilinear map into a module homomorphism. To go in the other direction, there is a map  $B : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$  which is bilinear, sending  $(m, n) \rightarrow m \otimes n$ . This is the "universal" bilinear map. The universal property says that, if  $f : M \times N \rightarrow L$  is any bilinear map, there is a unique module homomorphism  $\bar{f} : M \otimes N \rightarrow L$  such that  $\bar{f}B = f$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{B} & M \otimes N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & L \end{array}$$

### Tensor product of vector spaces

Suppose that  $R$  is a field and  $V, W$ , are vector spaces over  $R$  of dimensions  $n$  and  $m$  respectively. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis for  $V$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  a basis for  $W$ .

If  $L$  is another  $F$ -vector space, then a bilinear map  $f : V \times W \rightarrow L$  is determined by its values on all pairs  $(v_i, w_j)$ .

The tensor product  $V \otimes W$  is an  $F$ -vector space and is spanned by the tensors  $v_i \otimes w_j$ .

Now construct a bilinear map  $f_{ij} : V \times W \rightarrow F$  by setting

$$f_{ij}(\sum a_s v_s, \sum b_s w_s) = a_i b_j.$$

By the universal property we have  $f_{ij}(v_r \otimes w_s) = 0$  unless  $r = i$  and  $s = j$  in which case it is one.

### Tensor product of vector spaces continued

Suppose that

$$x = \sum c_{rs} v_r \otimes w_s = 0.$$

Then  $f_{ij}(x) = c_{ij} = 0$  for all pairs  $i, j$  and therefore all  $c_{rs} = 0$ ; in other words, the  $v_r \otimes w_s$  are linearly independent. Thus  $V \otimes W$  is an  $nm$  dimensional  $F$ -vector space.

### Endomorphisms

Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $V^*$  be its dual. Then there is an isomorphism

$$V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

where  $(v \otimes f)(w) = f(w)v$ .

### The noncommutative case

Now suppose that  $R$  is a noncommutative ring. If  $M$  and  $N$  are left modules, then we have a problem defining a bilinear map  $M \times N \rightarrow L$  where  $L$  is also a left module. Namely, on the one hand, we would need:

$$f(rsm, n) = rf(sm, n) = f(sm, rn) = sf(m, rn) = f(m, srn)$$

but on the other hand

$$f((rs)m, n) = (rs)f(m, n) = f(m, (rs)n)$$

and since  $sr$  and  $rs$  are different we can't define this consistently.

### The noncommutative case continued

In the non-commutative case (with unity) we have to make some compromises:

- First, we assume  $M$  is a *right* module and  $N$  is a *left* module.
- Next, we are only going to construct an *abelian group*, not a module, from  $M$  and  $N$ .
- Finally, we are going to consider maps  $f : M \times N \rightarrow L$ , where  $L$  is an abelian group, that are *balanced*, meaning that  $f(mr, n) = f(m, rn)$  for  $r \in R$ .

We create an abelian group spanned by  $m \otimes n$  subject to the relations  $mr \otimes n = m \otimes rn$  together with the additivity  $(m + m') \otimes n = m \otimes n + m' \otimes n$  and similarly for  $N$ .

This is the tensor product of the modules  $M$  and  $N$  – remember it is an abelian group, NOT an  $R$ -module in general.

### Universal property

$M \otimes N$  still satisfies a universal property. Call a map  $f : M \times N \rightarrow L$ , where  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module,  $N$  is a left  $R$ -module, and  $L$  is an abelian group, *balanced* if  $f$  is additive in  $M$  and  $N$  separately and satisfies  $f(mr, n) = f(m, rn)$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Then given such a balanced map from  $M \times N$  to  $L$ , there is a unique map of abelian groups  $\phi : M \otimes N \rightarrow L$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \otimes N \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow \phi \\
 & & L
 \end{array}$$

Here the map  $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$  is the expected one:  $(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes n$ .

As is always the case, the universal property characterizes the tensor product up to isomorphism.

### Bimodules

Now suppose that  $S$  and  $R$  are rings with unity and that  $M$  is simultaneously a left  $S$ -module and a right  $R$  module, so that  $(sm)r = s(mr)$ . Such an object  $M$  is called an  $(S, R)$ -bimodule.

For example, suppose  $R = M_2(F)$ ,  $S = F$ , and  $M$  is the space  $F^2$  viewed as row vectors with  $R$  acting on the right as matrix multiplication and  $S$  on the left as scalar multiplication.

### Tensor product of bimodules

If  $N$  is a left  $R$  module, we can form the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  which is an abelian group; but we can furthermore let  $S$  act by  $s(m \otimes n) = (sm \otimes n)$ .

This makes  $M \otimes_R N$  into a left  $S$ -module. (If  $N$  is an  $(R, S)$ -bimodule so that  $R$  acts on the left and  $S$  on the right, then  $M \otimes_R N$  is a right  $S$ -module.)

If  $R$  is commutative, and  $M$  is a left  $R$  module, it is also a right  $R$ -module via  $(mr) = rm$ . So it is automatically an  $(R, R)$ -bimodule. This is how  $M \otimes_R N$  is automatically an  $R$ -module if  $R$  is commutative.

## General Properties

### Tensor product of maps

If  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  and  $g : N \rightarrow N'$  are maps of right/left  $R$ -modules, then  $f \otimes g : M \otimes N \rightarrow M' \otimes N'$  defined by  $(f \otimes g)(m \otimes n) = f(m) \otimes g(n)$  is a well defined group homomorphism.

If  $M$  and  $M'$  are  $(S, R)$  bimodules and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $S$ -module homomorphisms then  $f \otimes g$  is an  $S$ -module homomorphism. (If  $R$  is commutative all this is automatic).

Further, provided everything makes sense,  $(f \otimes g) \circ (f' \otimes g') = (f \circ f') \otimes (g \circ g')$ .

### The Kronecker Product

If  $L$  and  $M$  are matrices giving linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{m'}$ .

Then the tensor product of these maps is a linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n'} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m'}$ .

The standard bases of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  give us bases  $e_i \otimes e_j$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n'} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m'}$ . Thus the tensor product is represented as an  $nm \times n'm'$  matrix. This is called the Kronecker Product of the matrices  $L$  and  $M$ .

### Associativity

The tensor product is associative in the sense that  $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L$  is isomorphic to  $M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$  provided that  $M$  is a right  $R$  module,  $N$  is an  $(R, T)$  bimodule, and  $L$  is a left  $T$ -module. If  $R$  and  $T$  are commutative this is automatic.

First check that both versions of the tensor product make sense.

Notice that  $N \otimes_T L$  is a left  $R$ -module and  $M \otimes_R N$  is a right  $T$ -module.

For fixed  $l \in L$ , the map  $(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes (n \otimes l)$  is  $R$ -balanced, so there is a well-defined map

$$M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$$

This gives a well-defined map

$$M \otimes_R N \times L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L)$$

and by the universal property this translates to a map

$$(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_T L).$$

You can also reverse this construction to create the inverse map.

If  $M$  is an  $(S, R)$  bimodule then both constructions yield left  $S$ -modules. Then  $M \otimes_R N$  is an  $(S, T)$  bimodule and  $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_T L$  is a left  $S$ -module.

### Commutativity

If  $R$  is commutative, the tensor product is commutative in the sense that  $M \otimes N$  is isomorphic to  $N \otimes M$ .

### Distributive law

$(M \oplus M') \otimes N$  is isomorphic to  $(M \otimes N) \oplus (M' \otimes N)$  and similarly if  $N$  is a direct sum. By induction this extends to finite direct sums. With care it holds for infinite direct sums.

The proof of this uses the fact that there is a well-defined balanced map

$$F : (M \oplus M') \times N \rightarrow (M \otimes N) \oplus (M' \otimes N)$$

defined by  $F((m, m'), n) = (m \otimes n, m' \otimes n)$

and so we have a map

$$(M \oplus M') \otimes N \rightarrow (M \otimes N) \oplus (M' \otimes N).$$

On the other hand we have balanced maps  $M \times N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes N$  sending  $m \otimes n$  to  $(m, 0) \otimes n$  and similarly for  $M' \times N$ . These give a map from  $M \otimes N \oplus M' \otimes N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes N$  which is inverse to the map above.

### Tensor product of algebras

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $R$  algebras where  $R$  is commutative, then  $A \otimes_R B$  is an  $R$  algebra with multiplication  $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (aa') \otimes (bb')$ . (remember that an  $R$  algebra is a ring in which  $R$  is mapped into the center of the ring).

View as slides