## 9. Tensor Products

Tensor Products I: Extension of Scalars

## Restriction of scalars

Suppose $R$ and $S$ are rings with unity (but not necessarily commutative), that we have ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ and that $M$ is an $S$ module. Then $M$ is an $R$ module by "restricting scalars" so that $r \cdot m=f(r) m$.

- Any $\mathbb{R}$-vector space is a $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space.
- Any vector space over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is a module over $\mathbb{Z}$.
- There is a ring map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_{2}(R)$ sending

$$
i \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and so any left module over $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ can be viewed as a complex vector space. There are other elements in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $x^{2}+1=0$, and so there are lots of ways to view a left module over $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

## Extension of scalars

Suppose that $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of rings with unity, and $M$ is an $R$-module. Is there a way to make $M$ into an $S$-module? Maybe a better way to say it is: can we find a "smallest" $S$-module $N$ together with a map $M \rightarrow N$ ?

Example: Suppose that $V$ is a finite dimensional real vector space. Choose a $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ for $V$. So $V$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ using this basis. So we can think of $V$ as inside $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If we chose a different basis, we'd get a different map $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, but the two maps would be related by a change of basis transformation so in some sense these are "isomomorphic".

## Extension of scalars (More examples)

Example: Suppose that $M$ is an abelian group (hence a $\mathbb{Z}$-module). Can we embed $M$ in a $\mathbb{Q}$-module? Sometimes, yes: if $M$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for some $n$, then $M$ embeds in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$. On the other hand if $M$ is finite, there are no maps from $M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ for any $n$. If $M$ is a mixture of free and torsion parts, we can embed the free part of $M$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ but not the torsion part.

Example: If $M$ is an abelian group, can we embed $M$ into a vector space over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ - here the map $R \rightarrow S$ is the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ ? Sometimes yes - if $M$ is $p$-torsion, we can do it, but for general $M$ no.

## Universal approach

To study this in general we take a (left) $R$-module $M$ and a ring map $f: R \rightarrow S$ and ask: how can we make an $S$-module out of $M$ and the map $f: R \rightarrow S$ ?

An $S$-module structure on $M$ means we need a map

$$
S \times M \rightarrow M
$$

satisfying the axioms

- $\left(s,\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right)=\left(s, m_{1}\right)+\left(s, m_{2}\right)$
- $\left(s_{1}+s_{2}, m\right)=\left(s_{1}, m\right)+\left(s_{2}, m\right)$
- $(s r, m)=(s, r m)$ for $r \in R$.


## Extension of scalars via tensor product

Our strategy is to make an abelian group whose elements are pairs $(s, m)$ modulo the relations above. The equivalence classes of this abelian group are written $s \otimes m$ (or, in cases where we need more context, $s \otimes_{R} m$ or even $s \otimes_{f} m$ ). The group itself is called $S \otimes_{R} M$. So the following rules hold:

- $\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \otimes m=s_{1} \otimes m+s_{2} \otimes m$.
- $s \otimes\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)=s \otimes m_{1}+s \otimes m_{2}$
- $s r \otimes m=s \otimes r m$.

A typical element of $S \otimes M$ is a sum of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \otimes m_{i}$. It is an $S$-module via multiplication by $S$ on the first factor.

We have a map $M \rightarrow S \otimes_{R} M$ given by $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$.
Important: The elements $s \otimes m$ belong to a quotient group and so the representation of an element as a sum of "simple tensors" $s \otimes m$ need not be unique! In fact it's quite possible for $s \otimes m$ to be zero.

## Some examples

Suppose that $M=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $R \rightarrow S$ is $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ consists of sums of elements $a \otimes m$. But $a=\frac{x}{y}$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we can write $a \otimes m=\frac{1}{y} \otimes x m . \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$.

Suppose that $M$ is a finite group of order $n$. Then any element of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ can be written $a \otimes m$ where $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. But $a=n(a / n)$. Therefore

$$
a \otimes m=(a / n) n \otimes m=(a / n) \otimes n m=0
$$

so $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ is the zero module.

## The universal property

The idea is that $S \otimes M$ is the smallest $S$ module containing $M$, where the action of $R$ comes via the map $R \rightarrow S$. In other words, if $L$ is any other $S$ module and there is an $R$-module map $f: M \rightarrow L$, then there is a unique $S$-module map $\phi: S \otimes M \rightarrow L$ so that this triangle commutes:


## More on the universal property

If $\iota: M \rightarrow S \otimes M$ is the map $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$, then $M / \operatorname{ker}(\iota)$ maps injectively in $S \otimes M$. This is the "largest" quotient of $M$ which embeds into an $S$-module.

Example: Let $G$ be a finitely generated abelian group. Then $G$ is isomoprhic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \oplus T$ where $T$ is a finite group. If we want to map $G$ to a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, the kernel has to include $T$. And in fact the kernel of $\iota$ is $T$. Further, the $\mathbb{Q}$-dimension of the vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G$ is the rank of the free part of $G$.

## More examples

Example: Let $M$ be an $R$ module and let $f: R \rightarrow R / I$ be the quotient map. Then $R / I \otimes M$ is isomorphic to $M / I M$. First notice that if $x \in I M$, then $1 \otimes x=1 \otimes i m=i \otimes m=0$, so $I M$ is in the kernel of $\iota$. Therefore we have a map $M / I M \rightarrow R / I \otimes M$. We have a map in the opposite direction $R / I \otimes M \rightarrow M / I M$ given by $(r+I) \otimes m \mapsto r m+I M$. So if $G$ is a finite abelian group, then $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \otimes G$ is $G / p G$ which is zero if $G$ has no $p$-torsion.

Example: If $V$ is a vector space over $F$ of dimension $n$, and $F \rightarrow E$ is a field extension, then $E \otimes V$ is an $n$-dimensional vector space over $E$.

## Tensor products of modules

## The commutative case

Assume for the moment that $R$ is a commutative ring with unity. Suppose that $M$ and $N$ are $R$-modules. If $L$ is yet another $R$-module, a bilinear map

$$
f: M \times N \rightarrow L
$$

is a map that is linear in each variable separately and also satisfies $f(r m, n)=f(m, r n)$ for $r \in R$. The tensor product $M \otimes_{R} N$ of $M$ and $N$ is the free abelian group on pairs $(m, n)$ modulo the relations:

- $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}, n\right)=\left(m_{1}, n\right)+\left(m_{2}, n\right)$
- $\left(m, n_{1}+n_{2}\right)=\left(m, n_{1}\right)+\left(m, n_{2}\right)$
- $(r m, n)=(m, r n)$

The equivalence class of the pair $(m, n)$ is written $m \otimes n . M \otimes N$ is an $R$ module via the action $r(m \otimes n)=(r m \otimes n)=(m \otimes r n)$ and

$$
r\left(\sum m_{i} \otimes n_{i}\right)=\sum r\left(m_{i} \otimes n_{i}\right)
$$

## Universal property

If $f: M \times N \rightarrow L$ is bilinear, then we can defined $\bar{f}: M \otimes N \rightarrow L$ by $\bar{f}(m \otimes n)=f(m, n)$. This is well defined and it converts a bilinear map into a module homomorphism. To go in the other direction, there is a map $B: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ which is bilinear, sending $(m, n) \rightarrow m \otimes n$. This is the "universal" bilinear map. The universal property says that, if $f: M \times N \rightarrow L$ is any bilinear map, there is a unique module homomorphism $\bar{f}: M \otimes N \rightarrow L$ such that $\bar{f} B=f$.


## Tensor product of vector spaces

Suppose that $R$ is a field and $V, W$, are vector spaces over $R$ of dimensions $n$ and $m$ respectively. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a basis for $V$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ a basis for $W$.

If $L$ is another $F$-vector space, then a bilinear map $f: V \times W \rightarrow L$ is determined by its values on all pairs $\left(v_{i}, w_{j}\right)$.

The tensor product $V \otimes W$ is an $F$-vector space and is spanned by the tensors $v_{i} \otimes w_{j}$.

Now construct a bilinear map $f_{i j}: V \times W \rightarrow F$ by setting

$$
f_{i j}\left(\sum a_{s} v_{s}, \sum b_{s} w_{s}\right)=a_{i} b_{j}
$$

By the universal property we have $f_{i j}\left(v_{r} \otimes w_{s}\right)=0$ unless $r=i$ and $s=j$ in which case it is one.

## Tensor product of vector spaces continued

Suppose that

$$
x=\sum c_{r s} v_{r} \otimes w_{s}=0
$$

Then $f_{i j}(x)=c_{i j}=0$ for all pairs $i, j$ and therefore all $c_{r s}=0$; in other words, the $v_{r} \otimes w_{s}$ are linearly independent. Thus $V \otimes W$ is an $n m$ dimensional $F$-vector space.

## Endomorphisms

Let $V$ be a vector space and let $V^{*}$ be its dual. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
V \otimes V^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)
$$

where $(v \otimes f)(w)=f(w) v$.

## The noncommutative case

Now suppose that $R$ is a noncommutative ring. If $M$ and $N$ are left modules, then we have a problem defining a bilinear map $M \times N \rightarrow L$ where $L$ is also a left module. Namely, on the one hand, we would need:

$$
f(r s m, n)=r f(s m, n)=f(s m, r n)=s f(m, r n)=f(m, s r n)
$$

but on the other hand

$$
f((r s) m, n)=(r s) f(m, n)=f(m,(r s) n)
$$

and since $s r$ and $r s$ are different we can't define this consistently.

## The noncommutative case continued

In the non-commutative case (with unity) we have to make some compromises:

- First, we assume $M$ is a right module and $N$ is a left module.
- Next, we are only going to construct an abelian group, not a module, from $M$ and $N$.
- Finally, we are going to consider maps $f: M \times N \rightarrow L$, where $L$ is an abelian group, that are balanced, meaning that $f(m r, n)=f(m, r n)$ for $r \in R$.

We create an abelian group spanned by $m \otimes n$ subject to the relations $m r \otimes n=m \otimes r n$ together with the additivity $\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) \otimes n=m \otimes n+m^{\prime} \otimes n$ and similarly for $N$.

This is the tensor product of the modules $M$ and $N$ - remember it is an abelian group, NOT an $R$-module in general.

## Universal property

$M \otimes N$ still satisfies a universal property. Call a map
$f: M \times N \rightarrow L$, where $M$ is a right $R$-module, $N$ is a left $R$-module, and $L$ is an abelian group, balanced if $f$ is additive in $M$ and $N$ separately and satisfies $f(m r, n)=f(m, r n)$ for all $r \in R$.

Then given such a balanced map from $M \times N$ to $L$, there is a unique map of abelian groups $\phi: M \otimes N \rightarrow L$ such that the following diagram commutes.


Here the map $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ is the expected one:
$(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes n$.
As is always the case, the universal property characterizes the tensor product up to isomorphism.

## Bimodules

Now suppose that $S$ and $R$ are rings with unity and that $M$ is simultaneously a left $S$-module and a right $R$ module, so that $(s m) r=s(m r)$. Such an object $M$ is called an $(S, R)$-bimodule.
For example, suppose $R=M_{2}(F), S=F$, and $M$ is the space $F^{2}$ viewed as row vectors with $R$ acting on the right as matrix multiplication and $S$ on the left as scalar multiplication.

## Tensor product of bimodules

If $N$ is a left $R$ module, we can form the tensor product $M \otimes_{R} N$ which is an abelian group; but we can furthermore let $S$ act by $s(m \otimes n)=(s m \otimes n)$.

This makes $M \otimes_{R} N$ into a left $S$-module. (If $N$ is an ( $R, S$ )-bimodule so that $R$ acts on the left and $S$ on the right, then $M \otimes_{R} N$ is a right $S$-module.)

If $R$ is commutative, and $M$ is a left $R$ module, it is also a right $R$-module via ( $m r$ ) $=r m$. So it is automatically an ( $R, R$ )-bimodule.
This is how $M \otimes_{R} N$ is automatically an $R$-module if $R$ is commutative.

General Properties

## Tensor product of maps

If $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ and $g: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ are maps of right/left $R$-modules, then $f \otimes g: M \otimes N \rightarrow M^{\prime} \otimes N^{\prime}$ defined by $(f \otimes g)(m \otimes n)=f(m) \otimes g(n)$ is a well defined group homomorphism.

If $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are $(S, R)$ bimodules and $f$ and $g$ are $S$-module homomorphismsm then $f \otimes g$ is an $S$-module homomorphism. (If $R$ is commutative all this is automatic).

Further, provided everything makes sense, $(f \otimes g) \circ\left(f^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}\right)=\left(f \circ f^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(g \circ g^{\prime}\right)$.

## The Kronecker Product

If $L$ and $M$ are matrices giving linear maps from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m^{\prime}}$.

Then the tensor product of these maps is a linear map from $\mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m^{\prime}}$.

The standard bases of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ give us bases $e_{i} \otimes e_{j}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes R^{m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m^{\prime}}$. Thus the tensor product is represented as an $n m \times n^{\prime} m^{\prime}$ matrix. This is called the Kronecker Product of the matrices $L$ and $M$.

## Associativity

The tensor product is associative in the sense that $\left(M \otimes_{R} N\right) \otimes_{T} L$ is isomorphic to $M \otimes_{R}\left(N \otimes_{T} L\right)$ provided that $M$ is a right $R$ module, $N$ is an $(R, T)$ bimodule, and $L$ is a left $T$-module. If $R$ and $T$ are commutative this is automatic.

First check that both versions of the tensor product make sense.
Notice that $N \otimes_{T} L$ is a left $R$-module and $M \otimes_{R} N$ is a right $T$-module.

For fixed $I \in L$, the $\operatorname{map}(m, n) \mapsto m \otimes(n \otimes I)$ is $R$-balanced, so there is a well-defined map

$$
M \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow M \otimes_{R}\left(N \otimes_{T} L\right)
$$

This gives a well-defined map

$$
M \otimes_{R} N \times L \rightarrow M \otimes_{R}\left(N \otimes_{T} L\right)
$$

## Commutativity

If $R$ is commutative, the tensor product is commutative in the sense that $M \otimes N$ is isomorphic to $N \otimes M$.

## Distributive law

$\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \otimes N$ is isomorphic to $(M \otimes N) \oplus\left(M^{\prime} \otimes N\right)$ and similarly if $N$ is a direct sum. By induction this extends to finite direct sums. With care it holds for infinite direct sums.

The proof of this uses the fact that there is a well-defined balanced map

$$
F:\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \times N \rightarrow(M \otimes N) \oplus\left(M^{\prime} \otimes N\right)
$$

defined by $F\left(\left(m, m^{\prime}\right), n\right)=\left(m \otimes n, m^{\prime} \otimes n\right)$
and so we have a map

$$
\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \otimes N \rightarrow(M \otimes N) \oplus\left(M^{\prime} \otimes N\right)
$$

On the other hand we have balanced maps $M \times N \rightarrow\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \otimes N$ sending $m \otimes n$ to $(m, 0) \otimes n$ and similarly for $M^{\prime} \times N$. These give a map from $M \otimes N \oplus M^{\prime} \otimes N \rightarrow\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \otimes N$ which is inverse to the man above

## Tensor product of algebras

If $A$ and $B$ are $R$ algebras where $R$ is commutative, then $A \otimes_{R} B$ is an $R$ algebra with multiplication $(a \otimes b)\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b b^{\prime}\right)$. (remember that an $R$ algebra is a ring in which $R$ is mapped into the center of the ring).

