

# Biconditionals

# The converse

If  $P$  then  $Q$

Given an implication  $P \implies Q$ , its *converse* is the statement

$Q \implies P$ .

if  $Q$  then  $P$

$P$	$Q$	$P \implies Q$	$Q \implies P$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

# Statement and Converse are different

If I own a BMW 335xi, then I own a car.

- ▶  $P$  is "I own a BMW 335xi"
- ▶  $Q$  is "I own a car"

The converse is "If I own a car, then I own a BMW 335xi".

$P \implies Q$  is true but  $Q \implies P$  is false.

# Biconditionals or Equivalence

$P \iff Q$  means "If P, then Q" AND "If Q, then P". It is often read "if and only if" since

- ▶  $P$  if  $Q$  means  $Q \implies P$
- ▶  $P$  only if  $Q$  means  $P \implies Q$ .

It can also be read "necessary and sufficient" ( $P$  is necessary and sufficient for  $Q$ ).

*P is necessary and sufficient for Q*

*$P \implies Q$  is NOT THE SAME AS  $Q \implies P$*

*$P \iff Q$  is the same as  $Q \iff P$ .*

# Truth Table for Equivalence

$P \Leftrightarrow Q$  P if and only if Q P necessary and sufficient for Q

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ means $P \Rightarrow Q$ AND $Q \Rightarrow P$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

P is logically equivalent to Q

# Synonyms

- ▶  $P$  if and only if  $Q$
- ▶  $P$  is necessary and sufficient for  $Q$
- ▶  $P$  is equivalent to  $Q$
- ▶ If  $P$ , then  $Q$ , and conversely.

# Sample problem

Put the statement "If  $xy = 0$  then  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ , and conversely" in the form "P if and only if Q".

$$P: xy = 0$$

$$Q: x=0 \text{ OR } y=0.$$

$xy=0$  if and only if  $(x=0 \text{ OR } y=0)$ .

---

If  $xy=0$  then  $x=0$

(FALSE)

$$x=3 \\ y=0$$

If  $x=0$  then  $xy=0$

(TRUE)