

## Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

### First Step (Prop 10.1 pg 186)

Recall that, if  $a$  and  $b$  are natural numbers, there are integers  $k$  and  $l$  so that

$$\gcd(a, b) = ak + bl.$$

**Proposition:** Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are  $n$  integers. Let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $p \mid (a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_n)$  then  $p$  divides at least one of the  $a_i$ .

**Proof:**

if  $a_1 \cdots a_n = pk$  for some  $k$  then one of  $a_i = pk'$  for some  $k'$ . e.g.:  $7 \mid 21 \cdot 16 \Rightarrow 7 \mid 21$ .

Proof by induction. We must show that

$\nexists p \mid a_1 a_2$  then  $p \mid a_1$  or  $p \mid a_2$ .

Note:  $\gcd(p, a_1)$  has 2 possibilities. Either  $\gcd(p, a_1) = p$  or  $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$ . If it's  $p$ , then  $p \mid a_1$  so we are done. If  $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$  we can find  $k, l$  so that

$$\gcd(p, a_1) = 1 = pk + a_1 l.$$

$$\therefore a_2 = pa_2 k + a_1 a_2 l$$

Since  $p \mid a_1 a_2$ ,  $a_1 a_2 = ps$   
 $a_2 = pa_2 k + ps l = p(a_2 k + sl)$   
so  $p \mid a_2$ .

Now suppose that,  $\nexists p \mid a_1 \cdots a_n$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ .  
We must show that if  $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_n a_{n+1}$  then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ .

But  $p \mid (a_1 \cdots a_n) a_{n+1}$  so by the case  $n=2$  either  $p \mid a_{n+1}$  or  $p \mid (a_1 \cdots a_n)$ . By inductive hypothesis,  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ . This finishes proof.

## Second Step (Theorem 10.1, page 192)

**Proposition:** Any integer  $n > 1$  has a unique prime factorization, meaning it can be written as a product of prime numbers, and any two such products differ only up to the order of the factors.

**Step 1:** Every ~~integer~~<sup>natural number</sup> has a prime factorization (strong induction).

Proof:  $n=2$  is prime so it has a prime factorization.

Suppose all integers from  $2, \dots, n$  have prime factorizations.

Consider  $n+1$ . Either  $n+1$  is prime, so it has a prime factorization,

or  $n+1 = ab$  where  $2 \leq a, b \leq n$ .

By strong induction  $a = p_1 \dots p_r$  and

$b = q_1 \dots q_s$  where  $p_i, q_j$  are primes,

$\therefore n+1 = p_1 \dots p_r \cdot q_1 \dots q_s$  is too.

**Step 2:** The prime factorization is unique (minimal counterexample).

Assume that there is some integer which has 2 different prime factorizations. Pick the smallest such integer  $n$ .

$$n = p_1 \dots p_r = q_1 \dots q_s \quad \text{all } p_i, q_j \text{ are prime.}$$

Now  $p_1 | n$  so  $p_1 | q_1 \dots q_s$ .

Therefore there is some  $q_j, 1 \leq j \leq s$ , so that  $p_1 | q_j$  so  $p_1 = q_j$ . ← omitted  $q_j$

$$n_1 = n/p_1 = p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 \dots q_{j-1} q_{j+1} \dots q_s$$

$n_1 < n$  so it has a unique factorization.

$$q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_s \iff p_2, \dots, p_r$$

$$n_1 = p_2 \dots p_r$$

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_j (q_1 \dots q_{j-1} q_{j+1} \dots q_s)$$

This a contradiction of assumption that  $n$  is the minimal natural number that doesn't have a unique factorization. Conclude: all natural numbers have a unique factorization.