1. Modules

Modules: Basics

How to think of modules

- Modules are to rings as vector spaces are to fields.
- Modules are to rings as sets with group actions are to groups.

Definition: Let R be a ring (for now, not necessarily commutative and not necessarily having a unit). A *left* R-module is an abelian group M together with a map $R \times M \rightarrow M$ (written $(r, m) \mapsto rm$) such that:

▶
$$r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$$

▶ $(r_1 + r_2)m = r_1m + r_2m$
▶ $r_1(r_2m) = (r_1r_2)m$

If R has a unit element 1, we also require 1m = m for all $m \in M$.

Right modules

A right module is defined by a map $M \times R \rightarrow M$ and written $(m, r) \mapsto mr$ and satisfying the property

$$(mr_1)r_2 = m(r_1r_2).$$

If R is not commutative, these really are different, since for a left module:

while for a right module

•
$$r_1r_2$$
 acts by "first r_1 , then r_2 ."

If *R* is commutative, and *M* is a left *R*-module, then we can define a right *R* module *M'* with the same underlying abelian group *M* and by defining m'r = (rm)'. This works because

$$(m'r_1)r_2 = (r_1m)'r_2 = (r_2(r_1m))' = ((r_2r_1)m)' = ((r_1r_2)m)' = m'(r_1r_2)$$

Remarks

Vector spaces

If R is a field, then a left (or right) R-module is the same as a vector space.

Another definition

If M is an abelian group, and R is a ring, then a left R-module structure on M is the same as a ring map

 $R \to \operatorname{End}(M).$

If ϕ_r is the endomorphism associated to $r \in R$, then $rm = \phi_r(m)$. The associativity comes from defining the ring structure on

 $\operatorname{End}(M)$

as the usual composition of functions:

$$\phi_{r_1r_2} = \phi_{r_1} \circ \phi_{r_2}.$$

Submodules

Definition: If *M* is a left *R*-module, then a submodule *N* of *M* is a subgroup with the property that, if $n \in N$, then $rn \in N$ for all $r \in R$.

Observation: A ring R is a left module over itself by ring multiplication. The (left) ideals of R are *exactly the left submodules* of R.

Essential examples

Rings as modules over themselves

- Every ring R is a left module over itself. The submodules of R are the left ideals.
- R is also a right module over itself, with the right ideals being the right submodules.

If *F* is a field and n > 1, let $R = M_n(F)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix ring over *F*. The matrices with arbitrary first column and zeros elsewhere form a left ideal *J* and therefore a left submodule of *R* as left *R*-module. But *J* is *not* a right *R*-submodule.

A field F is a one-dimensional vector space over itself, and a commutative ring R is a module (left and right) over itself with the ideals of R being the submodules.

Let *R* be a ring with unity and let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer. Then

$$R^n = \{(r_1, \ldots, r_n) : r_i \in R \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\}$$

is an R module with componentwise addition and multiplication given by $r(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = (rr_1, \ldots, rr_n)$.

This is called the *free R-module of rank n*.

Free modules and vector spaces

- If R is a field, the free R-module of rank n is an n-dimensional vector space.
- ► The submodules of a finite dimensional vector space are all subspaces which are copies of R^k for k ≤ n.
- For more general *R* the picture is more complicated. Let *R* = ℤ and *M* = ℤ². Then:
 - {(n,0): n ∈ ℤ} is a submodule of M which "looks like" a subspace.
 - ▶ $2M = \{(a, b) : a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a submodule of M which does not.

Change of rings (restriction of scalars)

- An abelian group M may be an R module for different rings R. For example:
 - Q is a module over Q, where it is a one dimensional vector space and its only Q-submodules are 0 and itself.
 - \blacktriangleright $\mathbb Q$ is a module over $\mathbb Z,$ and it has many $\mathbb Z\text{-submodules, such as }\mathbb Z[1/2].$

More generally, if $R \subset S$ is a subring, and M is an S-module, then it is an R-module. This is called *restriction of scalars*.

\mathbb{Z} -modules are the same as abelian groups

Let M be an abelian group. Then it is automatically a \mathbb{Z} -module where we define

$$nx = \overbrace{x + x + \cdots + x}^{n}$$
.

Furthermore, given any \mathbb{Z} -module, it must be the case that

$$nx = (\overbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}^{n})x = \overbrace{x+x+\cdots+x}^{n}.$$

(Note: this is why we require 1x = x when R is a ring with unity in the module axioms).

Further, submodules of M (as \mathbb{Z} -module) are just the subgroups of M (as abelian group).

Change of rings (quotients)

Suppose that *M* is a left *R* module and $I \subset R$ is a two-sided ideal with the property that, for all $y \in I$, and all $x \in M$, we have yx = 0. In this case we say that *I* annihilates *M* or that IM = 0.

With this hypothesis, we may view M as an R/I module by defining (r + I)m = rm for any coset representative $r + I \in R/I$. This is well-defined since two different coset representatives r, r' satisfy r' = r + i for some $i \in I$ and therefore r'm = (r + i)m = rm since im = 0.

If *M* is an abelian group and $m \in Z$ is a positive integer such that mM = 0, then *M* can be viewed as a module over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by this process.

This operation is a special case of a general operation called *base* change or extension of scalars that we will study in more detail later.

Modules over F[x]

Basic construction

Let *F* be a field, let *V* be a vector space over *F*, and let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be an *F*-linear transformation. Define a homomorphism

$$F[x] \to \operatorname{End}(V)$$

by sending

$$x^k \mapsto T^k = \overbrace{T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T}^n.$$

This construction makes V into a module for F[x] which depends on the choice of the linear transformation T.

Polynomials and linear transformations

Let $V = F^2$ and let T be the linear transformation given by the matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If e_0 and e_1 are the standard basis elements of F^2 then

$$Te_0 = e_1$$

 $T^2e_0 = Te_1 = e_0 + e_1 = e_0 + Te_0 = (1+T)e_0$

Polynomials and linear transformations continued

Therefore $(T^2 - T - 1)e_0 = 0$ and

$$(T^2 - T - 1)e_1 = (T^2 - T - 1)Te_0 = T(T^2 - T - 1)e_0 = 0$$

so the polynomial $x^2 - x - 1$ is in the kernel of the map from $F[x] \to \operatorname{End}(V)$.

By the base change construction above this means that V can be viewed as a module over $F[x]/(x^2 - x - 1)$.

Characterization of F[x] modules

We saw above that, given an *F*-vector space *V* with a linear transformation *T*, we get an F[x] module where *x* acts on *V* through *T*.

Conversely, suppose that M is an module over F[x]. Then M is an F vector space (via the restriction of scalars from F[x] to F). Furthermore, the element $x \in F[x]$ acts on M as an F-linear transformation because that's what the module axioms amount to.

Therefore there is an equivalence between

$$\{F[x] - \text{modules}\}$$

 $\{\text{vector spaces } V \text{ over } F \text{ with a given linear map } T: V \to V\}$

Submodules of F[x] modules

In the correspondence above, a submodule of an F[x] module M corresponds to a subspace $W \subset V$ that is *preserved by* T, meaning $TW \subset W$.

Thus, not all subspaces of V correspond to submodules.

In the example given earlier, the only T-stable proper subspace of V is the zero subspace.

If we consider instead the linear map on F^2 satisfying $Ue_0 = 0$ and $Ue_1 = e_0$, then the one dimensional subspace spanned by e_0 is U-stable and F^2 viewed as an F[x] module via U has a submodule corresponding to that subspace.

Checking the submodule property

Proposition: A subset *N* of a left *R*-module *M* is a submodule if it is nonempty and, for all $x, y \in N$ and $r \in R$, we have $x + ry \in N$. Alternatively, if *N* is a subgroup of the abelian group *M* and $rN \subset N$ for all $r \in R$ then *N* is a submodule.

Algebras

Definition: Let R be a commutative ring with unity. An R-algebra is a (not necessarily commutative) ring S with a ring homomorphism $f : R \to S$ carrying 1_R to 1_S such that f(R) is in the center of S.

The polynomial ring F[x] is an *F*-algebra, as is the matrix ring $M_n(F)$ where the homomorphism $f: F \to M_n(F)$ embeds *F* as the diagonal matrices. More generally, any *F*-algebra *A*, where *F* is a field, contains *F* in its center and the identites of *A* and *F* are the same.

The ring $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra. In fact any ring S with 1 is a \mathbb{Z} algebra by the map sending $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ to $n1_S$.

The ring $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ algebra.

We typically omit the explicit map f and just think of R as "contained in" A; this can be misleading since f doesn't need to be injective, but it works in practice.

Definition: A map of *R*-algebras $f : A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism that is *R*-linear in the sense that f(ra) = rf(a) for all $r \in R$ and $a \in A$.

Any homomorphism of rings with unity is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra morphism.

Modules Homomorphisms, Quotient Modules, and Mapping Properties

Module homomorphisms

Definition: Let *R* be a ring and let *M* and *N* be (left) *R*-modules. A function $f : M \to N$ is an *R*-module homomorphism if:

- it is a homomorphism between the abelian group structures on *M* and *N*
- ▶ it is *R*-linear, meaning f(rm) = rf(m) for all $r \in R$.

Note that, if R is a field, then M and N are vector spaces and an R-module homomorphism is just a linear map.

A module isomorphism is a bijective homomorphism.

We let $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ denote the set of *R*-module homomorphisms from *M* to *N*.

Let R be a ring and let M and N be R-modules. Let $f : M \to N$ be a homomorphism.

- ▶ Let $ker(f) = \{m \in M : f(m) = 0\}$ (the *kernel* of f). This is a submodule of M.
- Let f(M) ⊂ N be the image of f. Then f(M) is a submodule of N.

Quotient modules

Let *M* be an *R* module and let $N \subset M$ be a submodule.

Definition: Let M/N be the quotient abelian group. Then M/N is an *R*-module where *R* acts on cosets by

$$r(x+N)=rx+N.$$

This is called the quotient module of M by N.

The *R*-module structure is well defined because if x + N = y + N, then x = y + n for some $n \in N$, and rx = ry + rn. Since *N* is a submodule, $rn \in N$ so rx + N = ry + N.

Notice that N can be any submodule, there is no "normality" condition like for groups.

There is always a "projection" homomorphism $\pi: M \to M/N$ defined by $\pi(m) = m + N$ which has kernel N.

If A and B are submodules of a module M, then A + B is the smallest submodule of M containing both A and B. Alternatively it is:

$$A+B=\{a+b:a\in A,b\in B\}$$

Mapping Properties

Let M, N, and K be R modules, and let $f : M \to K$ be a homomorphism with $N \subset \text{ker}(f)$. Then there is a unique homomorphism $\overline{f} : M/N \to K$ making this diagram commutative:



Isomorphism theorems

The isomorphism theorems for abelian groups give isomorphism theorems for modules.

- ▶ If $f : M \to K$ is a homomorphism, then the map \overline{f} gives an isomorphism between $M/\ker(f)$ and $f(M) \subset K$.
- (M + N)/N is isomorphic to $M/(M \cap N)$.
- (M/A)/(N/A) is isomorphic to M/N.
- ▶ There is a bijection between the lattice of submodules of M/N and submodules of M containing N given by $K \leftrightarrow K/N$.

The proofs of all of these facts are found by checking that the group isomorphisms respect the action of the ring R.

$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$

The set $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an abelian group: (f+g)(m) = f(m) + g(m) and the zero map is the identity.

If *R* is commutative then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an *R*-module if we set (rf) to be the function (rf)(m) = r(f(m)) = f(rm). We need *rf* to be a module homomorphism, which means we need:

$$(rf)(sm) = s(rf)(m).$$

This works out ok if R is commutative since

$$(rf)(sm) = f(rsm) = f(srm) = s(f(rm)) = s((rf)(m))$$

but it fails if R is not commutative.

$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, M)$

The set $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, M)$ is a ring with multiplication given by composition. The identity map gives an identity for this ring.

If *R* is commutative then, given $r \in R$, we have an element $\phi_r \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, M)$ given by $\phi_r(m) = rm$. This is a homomorphism because

$$\phi_r(sm) = rsm = srm = s\phi_r(m)$$

but this fails in general if R is not commutative. Thus, if R is commutative, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is an R-algebra.

More on $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, M)$

If $M = R^n$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from R.